PROJECT GRANT Score Sheet

Applicant:		
Panelist:	Total Score:	0

Community Benefit (0-25 points)

Point ranges	Check here	If the following applies		
0-9 points		Fewer than 100 Greenbelt residents are expected to participate directly and/or as spectators		
		Proposed project may have value but is not very distinctive; similar programs are available locally		
	The personal impact on participants/spectators may be limited or fleeting			
		Project is not expected to provide significant benefit to the broader community (beyond direct participants/spectators)		
10-17 points		100-499 Greenbelt residents expected to participate directly and/or as succtators		
		Proposed project is distinctive - significantly different from other local offered services		
		Project is expected to have a memorable impact on participants/spectators		
		Project is expected to provide some benefit to the broader continuity		
18-25 points		500 or more Greenbelt residents are expected to participate directly and/or as spectators		
		Proposed project is innovative, creating unique opportunities to the community		
	Project is expected to provide significant/lasting benefit to participants/spectators			
	Project is expected to have significant benefit for the world community			
YOUR SCORE	:	Comments:		

Project Feasibility (0-15 points)

Point ranges	Check here	If the following applies		
0-7 points		Project not yet well-defined; proposal lages detail		
		Not clear whether the appliant is equipped complete the project; few resources in place		
		Applicant has not indicated that they are partnering with another community organization on this project		
8-15 points		Project is clearly defined		
		Applicant seems veli-equipped to complete the project; some of the resources are in place		
		Applicant has catablished a partner hip with one or more community organizations to implement this project		
YOUR SCORE	:	Comments:		

Financial Need (0-10 points)

Point ranges	Check here	If the following applies		
0-5 points		Applicant appears	Applicant appears to have sufficient savings; City funding not clearly needed in the full amount requested	
		Project could be	Project could be scaled back if full City funding were not awarded	
6-10 points		City funding would help to ensure project completion without compromising the applicants' other services		
		Applicant is seeking first-time City funding; they are in need of "seed money"		
		Applicant is planning to use City funds as a match to leverage other funding		
		The project is not easily scalable; might not be possible to implement without full City funding		
YOUR SCORE:		Comments:		

Organizational Capacity: Personnel (0-20 points)

Point ranges	Check here	If the following applies			
0-6 points Greenbelt residents are underrepresented among leaders and (other) volunteers		nts are underrepresented among leaders and (other) volunteers			
	Panelists have identified reasonable concerns about leadership, including but not limited to: key vacancies; paid sta		entified reasonable concerns about leadership, including but not limited to: key vacancies; paid staff/contractors serving on		
		the Board of Dire	ctors		
	Little evident attention to issues of diversity, equity and inclusion		ention to issues of diversity, equity and inclusion		
7-14 points		Greenbelt reside	eenbelt residents contribute substantially to the applicant's operations		
		Leaders are capable and committed; may not have prior experience			
	Applicant has identified and is addressing barriers to diverse participation in divities and leadership				
15-20 points Greenbelt residents are substantially involved in both governance and organisms Leaders are exceptionally qualified, accomplished, and socially connected Applicant will significantly help to ensure that the Recognition Group program serves the full diversity of Green		nts are substantially involved in both governance and oppositions			
		ptionally qualified, accomplished, and socially connected			
		nificantly help to ensure that the Recognition Group program, rives the full diversity of Greenbelt residents			
YOUR SCOR	E:	Comments:			

Organizational Capacity: Programming History (0-15 points)

Point ranges	Check here	If the following applies		
0-5 points		Short or otherwise limited programming history		
		Not clear that the applicant is well known beyond the ircless current participants		
		Panelists have identified credible concerns regarding the implementation of past programs		
6-10 points		Signficant history of past programs, but not compare le in some to the proposed project		
		Applicant has actively/successfully propoted its pogram to targeted Greenbelt sub-populations		
		No significant concerns have been rais that the past program delivery		
11-15 points		Substanial/extensive program history, including projects of comparable scope		
		Applicant seems to have achieved proad public awareness/support among Greenbelters		
		Panelists and/or support letter attest to past program successes		
YOUR SCOR	E:	Comments:		

Organizational Capacity: Financial History (0-15 points)

Point ranges	Check here	If the following	pplies		
0-5 points		Applicant ended last fiscal year with a net operating loss, and/or is projecting a loss for this fiscal year			
		Applicant failed t	o match their prior City grant at the required level		
		Little or no saving	gs on hand; project may not be achievable if revenue goals are not met		
6-10 points		Applicant roughly broke even last fiscal year and expects to do so again this year			
		Applicant matche	Applicant matched their last City grant successfully at the level required		
		Some savings on	Some savings on hand; proposed project could be implemented with modifications if revenue goals are not met		
11-15 points		Applicant ended last fiscal year with a net operating surplus, adding to their savings; they expect to do so again this year			
		Applicant exceeded their required match of prior City grant funds			
		Healthy savings balance. Applicant should be able to cover possible revenue shortfalls and implement the proposed project.			
YOUR SCOR	E:	Comments:			